## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

GORDON LEE BARLOW,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 5:06CV117 (STAMP)

MICHAEL ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Defendant.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER AFFIRMING AND ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On October 10, 2006, the plaintiff brought the above-styled civil action. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert for submission of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and 636(b)(1)(B). The plaintiff subsequently filed a motion to dismiss. September 6, 2007, Magistrate Judge Seibert submitted a report and recommendation to this Court recommending that the plaintiff's motion to dismiss be granted. The magistrate judge advised the parties that any party may file written objections to his proposed findings and recommendations within ten days after being served with a copy of the magistrate judge's recommendation. No objections were filed.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, failure to

file objections to the magistrate judge's proposed findings and

recommendation permits the district court to review the

recommendation under the standards that the district court believes

are appropriate and, under these circumstances, the parties' right

to de novo review is waived. See Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44,

47 (4th Cir. 1982); Webb v. Califono, 468 F. Supp. 825 (E.D. Cal.

1979). Accordingly, this Court reviews the report

recommendation of the magistrate judge for clear error.

Because no party has objected to the report and recommendation

of the magistrate judge, and because this Court finds that the

magistrate judge's recommendation is not clearly erroneous, the

ruling of the magistrate judge is hereby AFFIRMED and ADOPTED in

its entirety.

The Clerk is DIRECTED to transmit a copy of this order to the

plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

December 26, 2007

/s/ Frederick P. Stamp, Jr. FREDERICK P. STAMP, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2